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Introduction

Sources and methodology
The information gathered for this chronology comes from open-source documentation (such as news reports, academic papers, published governmental and inter-governmental reports, and national archives). As in any exercise such as this, the compilation of material has been a collective effort.

Chronology entries
All chronology entries are written in the present tense. Entries for the same date are put in the sequence of events that happened (if specific times are known) or are placed in the order that dawn rises around the world. This means that entries for Japan, for example, will appear before Iran, which will appear before Iraq, which will appear before Germany. Specific times for events are given in GMT/UTC, where known, and local time if that has been specified.

Holding entries are preceding by the letter ‘H’ to indicate this status. Entries with outstanding queries relating to them are preceded by the letter ‘Q’.
11 January 1988  Chemical shells are fired by Iraqi forces in one of the sectors opposite Sardasht, resulting in a number of personnel sustaining injuries, Iranian sources claim. No further details are offered.[1]

The following day Iran presents a complaint to the UN, describing the attack as: ‘Iraq fired chemical artillery shells at Sardasht’. [2] However this incident is not included in the collated list of allegations of chemical weapons use presented to the Conference on Disarmament by Iran in April 1988.[3]


Q 30 January 1988 Speaker Rafsanjani, meeting with the Soviet Ambassodor in Tehran, praises a recent ‘British Foreign Office report’ that, he says, states Iraq started the war with Iran and was the first to deploy chemical weapons. He states that Iran has not used chemical weapons.[1] [*what FCO report is this?? Recast when acquired*]

Iranian sources quote from the report extensively [*note these quotes have been translated into Farsi and back into English — compare with original when found!*] ‘Since the signing of the Algiers agreement, signed by the governments of Iran and Iraq, until 1979 when the Shah was overthrown in Iran, the two countries’ relations continued in a correct manner and relations continued likewise until six months after the Iranian revolution. But in 1979, the Iraqi press reported inter-communical troubles in the Iranian province of Khuzestan, in which Arabs live and which is situated on the southern frontiers. After that relations between the two countries gradually began to deteriorate until, in late September, Iraq committed aggression against Iran. Thus, Iraq captured vast areas of Khuzestan as well as considerable other areas of Iranian territory along the border’. And: ‘In March 1984, for the first time the Security Council confirmed the use of chemical weapons by Iran an act which was condemned’ [see 30 March 1984].[2]

[2] Tehran home service, 0740 GMT 14 February 1988, as reported in ‘Tehran Radio says UK has “admitted” that Iraq was the aggressor hopes for better relations with France’, BBC-SWB, 16 February 1988, ME/0076/A1.

23 February 1988 A junior minister from the British Foreign and Commonwealth Office, David Mellor, arrives in Baghdad for the start of a three-day visit.[1] He meets with Saddam Hussein on the middle day of his visit; a meeting that results in agreement by Iraq to release a British national, John Smith, being held in that country.[2]

A month later Mellor writes to a Member of Parliament stating ‘I raised the issue of human rights and the use of chemical weapons and left the Iraqi regime in no doubt about our views’. [3] Some years later, Mellor and the Scott Inquiry into export of dual-use items engage in correspondence about what happened during this visit. On chemical weapons issues, Mellor states ‘I raised them with Tariq Aziz, then Iraqi Foreign Minister. He of course denied they had done this (but he wouldn’t he) and blamed quite a lot of this on misreporting by the BBC!’ [4]

[3] David Mellor, Minister of State, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, letter to Michael Meacher MP, dated 30 March 1988. The letter itself does not seem to have been released by the Scott Inquiry as part of the evidence collected, but it is quoted in a letter from Christopher Muttukumaru of the Inquiry team to David Mellor, dated 29 June 1993, which was released in 1996.

29 February 1988 In Iraq, Kurdish villages in northern Al-Sulaymniyiyah province are reported by Iranian military sources to have come under chemical and other forms of attack by Iraqi forces; more than 400 chemical weapons casualties are said to have occurred, the majority Kurdish women and children.[1]


29 February 1988 Tehran is struck by the first of what is later estimated to have been 189 of the new Iraqi Al-Husayn missiles — stretched Scuds (with payloads reduced to maybe 190 kg of high explosive) — launched against it over a seven-week period, the ‘war of the cities’. [1] Iran had sporadically been firing Scud-B missiles against Baghdad since 12 March 1985.[2] [See also 8 October 1987]

[Note: This comes at a time of intensification of attacks on civilian targets on each side. Numerous complaints are made by each side to the United Nations Secretary-General.[3]]


1 March 1988 The US Congress hears the following testimony from the Director of Naval Intelligence, Rear Admiral William O Studeman: ‘Worldwide, some 10 countries possess a chemical warfare capability. As many are known or thought to be actively seeking it. ... In the Middle East, Iraq has used chemical weapons in its war with Iran at least since 1983, and Iran has been
attempting to develop its own chemical capability'.[1] [See also 23 March 1987.]


11 March 1988  Iraqi aircraft drop chemical bombs on the village of Garmab, near Bakhtaran, injuring five people, so IRNA reports.[1]  

Iranian Foreign Minister Ali Akbar Velayati informs the Secretary-General of this allegation,[2] although there is some initial confusion about the timing of the alleged attack. Velayati writes in a further letter to the Secretary-General that it takes place at 1630 hours on this day resulting in the deaths of two civilians.[3] He calls on the UN to take ‘effective and immediate measures’.[4]


16 March 1988  Kurdish areas of Iraq are attacked with chemical weapons ‘on a massive scale’, Iranian sources claim.[1] In particular, the towns of Halabja and Dojaila are attacked with chemical weapons ‘killing many defenceless residents’, so IRNA reports.[2] The towns are currently on the front line of the Iran–Iraq War. Initial information suggests ‘some 4000 residents … killed’. [3] although it is not clear if this is meant to be the figure for Halabja only or for Halabja, Dojaila and the village of Garmab, near Bakhtaran, injuring five people, so IRNA reports.[1]  

It later becomes clear that these attacks are carried out in parallel with a wider campaign known as ‘Anfal’. [7] Tehran Radio claims that Iraq had resorted to using chemical weapons as it was ‘totally disappointed because of repeated defeats’. [8]  

Accounts of both survivors and Iranian officials indicate that Iraqi warplanes bomb the town with a combination of conventional and chemical weapons during 16 and 17 March, with the Iraqi soldiers of the Halabja garrison having surrendered to Kurdish irregular forces the day before. Iranian soldiers enter Halabja not long before Iraqi aircraft begin bombing with chemical weapons. The Iranian soldiers have access to protective equipment, meaning many survive the chemical weapons attack. Iranian doctors, treating hundreds of survivors, said the cloud contained a mixture of mustard gas and cyanide gas, with unknown nerve agents mixed in as well. The injured suffered from chemical burns from the mustard gas on their skin, eyes and lungs.[9]

Further reports suggest chemical weapons are also deployed from systems other than aircraft. Iranian Revolutionary Guards spokesman Ali Shafii is quoted as saying ‘Iraqis, using planes and artillery equipped with chemical weapons releasing mustard gas, cyanide and other types, caused 5000 innocent people of Halabja and the area to die’. [10]  

Some eight months later, a senior member of the Iraqi Government, Vice President Taha Muhay al-Din Ma’ruf, admits Iraqi use of chemical weapons at Halabja.[11]

[3] Speech by the representative of Iran to the Conference on Disarmament, Mr Mashhadi, as reported in CD document CD/PV.450, 22 March 1988.
[4] A total of 3200 names of individuals killed was collected in the course of systematic interviews with survivors by researcher Shorsh Resool, as reported in: Human Rights Watch, Iraq’s Crime of Genocide: The Anfal Campaign against the Kurds (Yale University Press, 1995).
[9] Statement of Taha Muhay al-Din Ma’ruf, Vice President of Iraq, at a press luncheon in Paris, as reported in Le Monde, 10 November 1988, p 12.

17 March 1988  Iraqi planes attack the towns of Nowusud and Marivan with mustard gas bombs, ‘killing a number of civilians’, Iranian sources report, in attacks that continue into the next day.[1] Nowusud, an Iranian town captured by Iraq at the beginning of the war, has just been recaptured.[2]  

Iran writes to the UN Secretary-General stating that a camp containing ‘Kurdish immigrants’ near Marivan is hit by ‘massive chemical attacks’ resulting in the deaths of five people and calling for a UN investigation of the incident.[3] In none of the reports are specific details given. [Note: The town of Marivan is about 40km NE of Halabja.]  

Iran’s Foreign Minister Ali Akbar Velayati urges the ‘International Red Cross’ to inquire into the Iraqi chemical bombings of the northeastern border towns newly occupied by Iran.[4]

17 March 1988  New groups of Iraqi Kurdish refugees arrive in Iran, bringing the total number to 9000 during the week, so IRNA reports. The agency says that the refugees, all from villages in Sulaimaniyeh, northern Iraq, were forced to flee their homes because of recent Iraqi attacks, including chemical bombardment and shelling of the villages. One refugee, Abdullah Mohammad Ali, tells IRNA that many villagers in Sulaimaniyeh were killed or wounded in chemical attacks.[1]

19 March 1988  [Velayati writes to UNSG — ‘What is the effect of these crimes on the one hand and the silence of the United Nations on the other? Have they not turned the Charter of the United Nations, the Universal Declaration on Human Rights and other international instruments into empty and ineffective slogans?’ — S/19664; he makes no specific request for a UN investigation to follow up the one in S/19650.]

20 March 1988  More than 20 attacks with cyanide, mustard, and unidentified nerve agents have been carried out against Kurdish communities in northeastern Iraq in the recent campaign against the Kurds by Iraqi forces, Tehran Radio claims.[1]

Iranian officials claim that 1000 Kurds have been airlifted to hospitals in Tehran to receive medical treatment and call upon the Red Cross and similar organizations for humanitarian aid.[2]

Tehran requests help from Indian doctors in treating victims of chemical weapons. Iran’s Consul General in Hyderabad puts the number of Iranian civilians killed in Iraqi attacks with chemical weapons on border towns in the hundreds.[3]


21 March 1988  Iranian authorities fly a party of Western journalists some 40 kilometres into Iraq to Halabja and the surrounding area [see 16 March]. The journalists film, photograph and report seeing many corpses lying in the streets, including those of young families, apparently dead from quick-acting poison gas.[1] There is widespread coverage of this visit in the following days.

Many descriptions of what is found in the town are published. ‘The skin of the bodies is strangely discoloured, with their eyes open and staring where they have not disappeared into their sockets, a greyish slime oozing from their mouths and their fingers still grotesquely twisted. Death seemingly caught them almost unawares in the midst of their household chores. They had just the strength, some of them, to make it to the doorways of their homes, only to collapse there or a few feet beyond. Here a mother seems to clasp her children in a last embrace, there an old man shields an infant from he cannot have known what’. [2] ‘But the starkest and most gruesome scenes of this lushi valley flanked by snow-crested peaks are the faces of the noncombatant dead: four small girls in traditional dress lying like discarded dolls by a trickling stream below Anap [a hamlet near Halabja]; two women cuddling in death by a flower garden; an old man in a turban clutching a baby on a doorstep’. [3] ‘Many of the rotting corpses had been left unburied for one week so our group could see them’. [4]

Eyewitness accounts are given. Haj Ali Rasa, 50, is quoted as saying ‘the white clouds came from the Iraqi planes’. [5] A middle-aged man tells a reporter ‘The Iranians came here and we welcomed them. Then, about noon time, the [Iraqi] bombardment came. Everybody was killed. I saw a cloud. I saw gas’. [6] Dr Sayyid Furutan describes some of the weapons believed to have been used — pointing to what is said to be the remnants of a cyanide container, he says ‘one bomb holds a hundred litres and on a cold day the vapour can quickly spread 500 metres. These people had no chance’. He says in other areas of the town planes dropped nerve and mustard gases, ‘You can save the victims of nerve gas if you treat them quickly, and we saved many’. [7] The containers are assessed as having a 100 litre capacity.[8]


21 March 1988 The Foreign Ministers of the member states of the Organisation of the Islamic Conference convene for a four-day meeting in Amman, Jordan. Iran’s Foreign Minister Ali Akbar Velayati refuses to attend owing to Jordan’s support for Iraq in the war, sending instead a message to the OIC’s Secretary-General, Sharifuddin Pirzada, regarding the recent attacks on Halabja and neighbouring communities which notes the organization’s silence on the issue.[1] The OIC adopts a resolution on the Iran–Iraq War which calls on Iran to accept UN Security Council resolution 598 [see 20 July 1987] but makes no mention of use of chemical weapons during the conflict.[2] unlike some previous OIC resolutions [see 22 December 1984]. [1] Tehran Radio, 22 March 1988, as reported in ‘ICO Meeting Opens in Amman; Iranian Boycott’, BBC-SWB, 23 March 1988, ME01074. [2] The resolution is reproduced as an appendix to Letter Dated 30 March 1988 from the Permanent Representative of Jordan to the United Nations addressed to the Secretary-General, UN document S/19721, 31 March 1988.

22 March 1988 Further chemical weapons attacks have taken place on Iranian territory according to Iranian sources, although reports vary. One says Iraqi aircraft bombarded three Iranian villages near the border towns of Marivan and Sardasht with chemical weapons during the morning, killing and wounding numerous people.[1] Another suggests four villages in Marivan and two in Sardasht are attacked with chemical weapons.[2] The Iranian government informs the UN that the attacks take place on ‘the suburbs of Sardasht and the villages of Namshaz, Tazvar, Valak and Ghal’eh-ji in the vicinity of Marivan’ and that ‘chemical agents were employed in three separate aerial bombardments by 13 aircraft’, resulting in 31 deaths and 450 serious injuries.[3] Iran’s Interior Minister Ali Akbar Mohtashami sends a message to the UN High Commissioner for Refugees accusing Iraq of using chemical weapons in Kurdish areas of northeastern Iraq, causing thousands of people to seek shelter in Iran. He calls upon international organizations to break their silence and condemn the attacks.[4] The collated list of allegations of chemical weapons use presented to the Conference on Disarmament by Iran in April 1988 gives the following details for this date — ‘Ghalseye Village in Marivan’ (450 casualties), ‘North Western part of Nodsheh in Tovaleh’, ‘Eskmaabad City’, ‘Aloit area in Sardasht’ (8 casualties), ‘Kamienadar area in Marivan’, ‘Tazeh Aabaad, Baalak, Nezhamar, Ghalseye Villages in Marivan’ (395 casualties).[5] [1] [no author listed] (from Tehran), ‘Iran Accuses Iraq of Dropping Chemical Bombs’, Xinhua General Overseas News Service, 22 March 1988, ref 0322100; [no author listed] (from Tehran), ‘Iraqi Missiles Attack Kills Civilians in Tehran’, Xinhua General Overseas News Service, 22 March 1988, ref 0322028.
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22 March 1988 Thirty to forty Kurdish demonstrators take over the headquarters of the British Red Cross in protest about global inaction regarding recent chemicals weapons attacks in Kurdish areas of Iraq.[1] John Jerram, marketing director of the British Red Cross, tells the press from his office ‘They want to know that the Red Cross is doing everything to help ... The group has not used force or taken over the building’.[2] There are many other demonstrations across Europe. For example, the following day a four-hour sit-in takes place at the London office of the League of Arab States.[3] On 25 March Kurdish demonstrators occupy the London offices of Air France,[4] threatening to soak their clothes with kerosene and set themselves alight if anyone tries to remove them. The occupation ends when the French ambassador agrees to meet with the protestors.[5] Mounted police drive back a large crowd of protestors from the Iraqi Embassy in Stockholm,[6] Hundreds of Kurds hold a vigil outside the US Embassy in London. One protestor is quoted as saying ‘America is a superpower and it is allowing smaller nations to act this way’.[7] On 28 March shots are fired during a demonstration outside the Iraqi Embassy in Vienna. A police spokesman indicates that the shots are believed to have come from inside the Embassy. Sixty-four protestors are arrested.[8] Protestors are said to have smashed windows at the Embassy and to have pushed around Embassy workers.[9] A month later, a march takes place in Moscow, passing through Red Square, although it is broken up by Soviet police before it reaches the Iraqi Embassy.[10]

[2] [no author listed], [no title], Times (London), 23 March 1988, p 24
23 March 1988 From Geneva, the International Committee of the Red Cross [see 17 March] issues a statement: ‘In a new and tragic escalation of the Iraq–Iran conflict, chemical weapons have been used, killing a great number of civilians in the province of Sulaymaniyah ... The use of chemical weapons, whether against military personnel or civilians, is absolutely forbidden by international law and is to be condemned at all times’. [1] The ICRC tells Iran it is ready to send emergency assistance. [2]


Q 23 March 1988 In Washington, US State Department spokesman Charles Redman says of the Halabja episode [see 16 March] that it ‘appears to be a particularly grave violation of the 1925 Geneva Protocol’, and that ‘there are indications that Iran may also have used chemical artillery shells in this fighting’. He declines to elaborate this statement.[1]

[1] The Special Security Office of the US Defense Intelligence Agency states, ‘Most of the casualties in Halabja were reportedly caused by cyanogen chloride. This agent has never been used by Iraq, but Iran has shown interest in it. Mustard gas casualties in the town were probably caused by Iraqi weapons because Iran has never been noted using that agent’. [2] [Note: (1) it is not clear when this was made public; (2) see 24 April 1985, 12 March 1986 and 6 May 1987 for details of UN investigation reports that discuss possible use by Iraq of cyanide weapons; and see 17 February 1986 for discussion of medical opinion of possible Iraqi use of cyanide.]

Other US sources are less specific, for example, one newspaper reports ‘A US official said the evidence collected by US intelligence agencies of purported Iranian chemical warfare was far less convincing than the startling evidence of Iraqi chemical attacks provided by television cameras that filmed scores of dead Iraqi Kurds in the northern Iraqi border town of Halabja’. [3]

[1] [No author listed] (from Washington), [no title], United Press International, 24 March 1988; [No author listed] (from Washington), US condemns use of chemical weapons by Iraqi planes, killing at least 50 people and wounding many others, according to the Kurdish Democratic Party. The KDP leader Massoud Barzani appeals for urgent medical aid from the international community.[1]


24 March 1988 In an editorial, The Guardian notes ‘Iraq’s ambassador to London has done his best, mainly by surfacing on almost every known national news broadcast in 12 hours, to deny his country’s latest and greatest war-crime. His best, however, is not nearly good enough, even if the British Government could only say yesterday, as it condemned the atrocity, that it had no independent evidence of who was guilty’. The paper observes ‘we are somewhat better placed than the ambassador to assess the likely origin and effects of the bombing because our own David Hirst was in a group of western reporters taken to [Halabja] this week by Iranians [see 21 March] (evidence of their presence in strength in the area). Like the subsequent television film, his description of a ghost-town littered with unmarked corpses was unusually horrifying, even in an age of worldwide public violence’.[1]

In the news section of the paper, The Guardian reports ‘There is little doubt that the Iranian and Kurdish versions of the tragedy are essentially correct. ... The attack on Halabja comes in the wake of persistent Kurdish claims that dozens of villages and towns have been attacked in this manner over recent years’. [2] The paper also quotes the director of the UK’s Chemical Defence Establishment at Porton Down, Graham Pearson, who had examined television footage, as saying: ‘Nothing in the film is incompatible with the allegation that cyanide was used, but from this evidence one could not rule out other agents’. [3]

Weapons in War, Say Western Doctors

Chemical Attack

Reuters, as in March 1988, ref 0329157; [no author listed] (from Vienna), Aftermath of Chemical Warfare; 30 Gas Victims Flown to West
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in the public domain that chemical weapons have again ‘tells a press conference that the faces and the nails of complaints of use of Iraqi chemical weapons [see 21

March]. The decision attracts some press attention.


25 March 1988

Iranian military communiqués report that Marivan has come under attack from chemical weapons bombs, injuring seven people. Another report describes an attack at a ‘village near Paveh’, although the confusion of war could mean that these reports refer to the same incident. The son of a senior Iranian figure becomes a casualty of today’s Iraqis attacks with chemical weapons, according to Iranian claims. Yaser Hashemi Rafsanjani, the 16-year-old son of the Majlis Speaker, is said to have received injuries during fighting in Sulaymaniyah province. A second casualty, Amir Mohammadi Khomeiniha, described variously as Iran’s Prosecutor General or as the son of the person holding that post, is also receiving hospital treatment for chemical weapons injuries.


25 March 1988

Médecins Sans Frontières sends a team into Iran

The following day, Belgian doctor Regindol Moreels tells a press conference that the faces and the nails of some of the bodies of casualties examined in Halabja [see 16 March] have turned blue and that ‘this shows that they were killed by cyanide gas’. He also states that many casualties have blisters like those associated with mustard. Dr Moreels declines to confirm whether Iraq used nerve agent as it decomposes too quickly to be identified.


25 March 1988

The (UK) government source said Iran’s only diplomat in Britain, charge d’affaires Mohammed Zadeh Basti, called on Foreign Office officials Friday ‘at his own request’ to seek British condemnation of Iraqi chemical weapon attacks on Iranian forces — Ed Lion (from London), ‘Britain seeks information on Waite’,UPI, 25 March 1988.

25 March 1988

The UN Secretary-General decides to dispatch a mission to investigate the recent Iranian complaints of use of Iraqi chemical weapons [see 21 March],[1] The decision attracts some press attention.


26 March 1988

Iranian planes drop what are described as ‘highly toxic chemical bombs’ on the Kurdish city of Karadagh, killing 64 and wounding 210, so a spokesman for the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan claims, in attacks that continue into the following day. Karadagh is described as being ‘about 15 miles south of the provincial capital of Sulaimaniya’. The spokesman goes on to say that the same chemical weapons were used recently against two villages occupied by Kurdish forces near the Dukan dam, north of Sulaimaniya, forcing the occupants to flee.[1] A PUK spokesman says ‘the Iraqis recently used chemical weapons against the two villages off Bargarolou and Sarargarou, near the dam’ and that, although PUK forces had pulled out of the villages since the chemical attack, ‘the Iraqi troops have also not been able to occupy the villages, because there is still gas there’. The group claims ‘The Iraqis are waging a war of genocide against our people’. [2]


27 March 1988

Two official Iraqi Army documents reportedly seized during a Kurdish attack on the military base at Deerakol two months previously are published by the London Observer, the paper having received the documents via the Kurdish Democratic Party. One purports to be a letter from Arbil district headquarters to all 24th Battalion units requiring a half-yearly stocktaking of biological and chemical weapons. The second is a telegram from one Iraqi military commander in Kurdistan to another stating that the KDP has acquired 4000 gas-masks and that ‘saboteurs will wear them when we use chemical weapons to attack their concentrations’.
28 March 1988  The UN mission [see 25 March] lands in Tehran to investigate the chemical weapons attack on Kurdish villages in northeastern Iran and on villages in northwestern Iran.[1] The mission comprises Dr Manuel Dominguez, a Spanish military medical specialist and veteran of earlier UN investigations [see 6 May 1987], accompanied by James Holger of the UN secretariat.

Tariq Aziz, Deputy Prime Minister and Minister for Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Iran, writes to the Secretary-General condemning the UN decision to send this mission and calling his statement announcing the mission ‘biased and ill-balanced’. Tariq Aziz neither acknowledges nor denies Iraqi use of chemical weapons in the letter, but accuses Iran of using such weapons, stating ‘With regard to the establishment of facts and in reply to the campaign that speaks of Iraq’s use of chemical weapons, we wish to reaffirm that Iran has used chemical weapons a number of times at the battlefronts and in the towns just as it used CS gas at the beginning of the war against our forces in the town of Muhammarah [Khorramshahr] and has used it at the southern and northern fronts’. [2] The Iraqi News Agency circulates the text.[3] Press reporting describes the letter as being of 15 pages in extent[4] although the copy as distributed by the UN is 4 pages long and that by INA is 5 pages.

Iran is reported to be unhappy with the composition of the UN team as it consists of a political officer and a medical doctor but no chemical weapons expert to identify the chemicals used in the attacks.[5]


29 March 1988  The head of the Iraqi News Agency’s Cairo office, Nuri Nayef, says ‘an authorized Iraqi spokesman’ had told him that ‘Iraq might choose to number a large number of Iranian cities to be the targets of chemical weapons as a deterrent and punitive measure’.[1]


29 March 1988  Thirty casualties from the town of Halabja, [see 16 March] all alleged to be affected by chemical weapons, are sent from Iran to Frankfurt, Geneva, London, New York and Vienna in order that they may receive medical treatment.[1] One casualty, a 45-year-old woman, dies on the way to the airport.[2] [See also 19 January 1987.]

One child, two men and three women arrive in Vienna to receive treatment for their injuries. Dr. Gerhard Freilinger [see 17 February 1986], attending to them at Vienna’s University Clinic states that their injuries lead him to believe they were exposed to mustard gas ‘in combination with a “light” nerve gas, because they all have bad headaches, are dizzy, and (some) may have been unconscious’. [3]

The casualties arriving in New York are exceptionally issued with US visas on humanitarian grounds.[4] They are described as three girls, aged 9, 9 and 12, a 25-year-old man and a 30-year-old woman.[5]

The next day, the Swiss Government announces that four Swiss medical volunteers have departed for Iran to treat casualties of recent alleged Iraqi chemical weapons attacks. The volunteers are expected to stay 10 days in Tehran, Tabris and Isfahan.[6]


29 March 1988  The Iraqi ambassador to London Mohammed al-Mashat is summoned to the Foreign Office where senior official Alan Munro tells him that the UK is ‘shocked’ at the reports of chemical weapons use in Halabja and that this is a ‘serious and grave’ violation of international agreements covering human rights and the use of chemical weapons.[1]


30 March 1988  In London, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs, Geoffrey Howe, tells the House of Commons ‘we have read with the greatest concern reports of Iraqi use of chemical weapons against villages in Kurdistan. If confirmed, and I see no reason to suppose that they will not be, they represent a significant increase in the use of these abhorrent and inhuman weapons. We have repeatedly made clear our condemnation of them and made representations specifically to the Iraqis … myself in a conversation with the Iraqi Foreign Minister on 15 March and to the Iraqi ambassador in the Foreign Office only yesterday [see 29 March]. Beyond that we have worked within the European Community — we initiated this — to impose strict export controls on chemical weapons and on civil chemicals that might be used to produce them. … I think that the whole House will share my sense of abhorrence...
at the use of these weapons in any circumstances in this or any other conflict.’ [1]

Some minutes later, a junior Minister is asked if he agrees: ‘that although he is right to condemn the use of chemical weapons against the Kurdish people in Iraq, one problem has been that the British Government have maintained diplomatic relationships with both Iran and Iraq, and not very long ago extended a £200 million credit to the Government of Iraq, enabling them to prop up their economy and continue the prosecution of the war? Would it not be better if we withdrew all trade, aid and credits to both Iran and Iraq as a way of bringing to an end the war and the use of chemical weapons in the region?’ The Minister responds: ‘I think that that would he an entirely self-defeating exercise. The fact that we have diplomatic relations with Iraq, for example, has made possible a wide range of contacts, to the mutual benefit of both countries, and enabled us to play a constructive part in the efforts of the United Nations to try to bring the Gulf war to an end. As recently as yesterday, it enabled a deputy under-secretary at the Foreign Office to see the Iraqi ambassador, to protest in strong terms about the use of chemical weapons, and to ask that his protest be reported back at the highest level in Baghdad. Without diplomatic relations, such exchanges would not be possible. The best way to stop the use of chemical weapons is to continue to protest through the channels available to us, as well as maintaining the present embargo to try to prevent all materials from Britain getting through that could be used in making such weapons.’ [2]


30 March 1988 The Iranian UN mission shows a 35-minute videotape of the Halabja attack to journalists. Iran says it films all military actions for analysis and as a matter of record. One paper reports the film in the following terms: ‘First the film showed Iranian forces entering and touring a city. Then, in scenes filmed from a distance, explosions spread huge white clouds of gas over sections of the city. Finally the film showed another tour of the city, with corpses of civilians everywhere.’ [1]


31 March 1988 Tehran tests for the first time a warning system for chemical attacks in the city. The radio will broadcast three beeps, a pause, and three more beeps to alert them that Iraq has attacked Tehran with chemical weapons. Some one hundred Iraqi missiles have hit the city since the restarting of missile attacks on 29 February. [1]


31 March 1988 Iraqi aircraft bomb ‘two villages in the suburb of the Iranian border city of Marivan’ with chemical weapons today, killing and wounding a number people, so IRNA claims.[1]

Tehran Radio also reports that Iraqi planes dropped chemical agents on two villages near the border town of Marivan, but ‘only three people were martyred’ and three injured thanks to Iranian measures already taken.[2]

In a separate report, Tehran Radio claims that Iraqi mustard gas and nerve gas killed 75 people in the region of Qaradagh in the villages of Susiyon and Dukan on 21–22 March, and Balakha, Jafaran, and Oliyan on 23 March.[3]


31 March 1988 A team visits Halabja [see 16 March] lead by Dr Daniel de Feurre, described as a specialist in toxic gases and special envoy of the International Committee of the Red Cross, according to the official Iranian news agency, which states that the doctor ‘confirmed Halabja was certainly attacked by poisonous gases’. [1]

[1] IRNA in English from Tehran, 1101 GMT 2 April 1988, as in FBIS-NES-88-064, 4 April 1988, p 54.